DEFEND COUNCIL HOUSING

Tenants, trade unionists, councillors and MPs say...

Time to invest in first class council housing



Crawley's executive member for Housing (left) and Professor Peter Ambrose (right) waiting to give evidence

Good response to Council Housing inquiry at Parliament

200 took part in the House of Commons Council Housing Group's inquiry at Parliament on 25 February.

The MPs heard verbal evidence from 27 delegations – many involving tenants, elected councillors and senior council officers. A wide range of organisations have also submitted written evidence.

The inquiry was organised to keep up the pressure on government's Review of Council Housing Finance due to report shortly. It focused on two main issues:

1. Level of funding required for the management, maintenance, repair and

improvement of existing council housing to guarantee sustainability;

2. Measures to enable councils to build a third generation of first class council homes providing the 'secure' tenancies, low rents and an accountable landlord that millions want and need.

A report from the inquiry will be published shortly. Ask your council, tenants organisation and trade union to bulk order copies in advance for councillors, tenants reps and others (£10 from Austin Mitchell MP, House of Commons, London SW1A 0AA).

Warm words from Margaret Beckett and Gordon Brown need to be turned into hard cash – and quickly

If it's true that government is prepared to drop the dogma and stop discriminating against council housing (see page 3), we've come a long way from 2000 when pundits predicted the 'End of Council Housing'.

But while warm words from the Prime Minister and others are most welcome we need detailed proposals and hard cash to guarantee the long term, sustainable future for council housing Ministers have promised.

We need a firm commitment to end the robbery with a tight ringfencing of tenants'

rents and capital receipts.

Massive opposition to privatisation and bailing out private sector

There is massive opposition to blackmailing and bullying tenants to accept privatisation and growing support for direct invest in first class council housing.

The private housing sector – including Registered Social Landlords – is in crisis.

In the face of a mounting recession people need 'secure' council tenancies, low rents and a landlord you can elect and hold to account more than ever.

REVIEW OF COUNCIL HOUSING FINANCE

66 The purpose of the review is to ensure that we have a sustainable, long term system for financing council housing... [it will] consider evidence about the need to spend on management, maintenance and repairs. 99

Yvette Cooper, 12 December 2007

What we are demanding...

- Ring fence all rents and receipts within a national Housing Revenue Account to spend on council housing
- Government to write-off / take over historic housing debt – the robbery from rents has to stop!
- •Fully fund allowances at 'level of need' from the national HRA to cover the management, maintenance, repair and improvement of council homes in each authority
- Increase availability of grants and change borrowing rules so that local authorities can start building large numbers of first class council homes with 'secure' tenancies low rents and an accountable landlord
- Announce an immediate moratorium on any further privatisation until the review's conclusions are fully implemented

Urge MPs to sign Early Day Motion EDM 355 in Parliament

Check whether your MP has signed Early Day Motion 355 to back this campaign. Write, email or arrange to meet them if they haven't already done so and let DCH know their response.

See full text of motion on page 2

REAL CONFUSION OVER 'ROBBERY'

Suddenly it seem like half the world has woken up to what council tenants have been saying for years: 'government is robbing billions of pounds from our rents'.

The robbery started in 1990 when the 'new' Housing Revenue Account 'subsidy' regime was first introduced.

The mechanism has changed (they used to use the Housing Benefit system to claw back their profit and now they use the HRA national subsidy system) but it has continued ever since.

Some politicians like to make out that it's a complicated system with winners and losers. They often put the stress on tenants from some authorities are subsidising tenants in other parts of the country.

This argument plays into the hands of those who want to keep tenants and other supporters of council housing divided and lets government off the hook!

The fact is that government is making a £1.7 billion profit from tenants rents this year and next – and the amount is set to go on rising each year.

This profit is the difference between total rents from tenants (£6.2 billion) and total allowances paid to councils

(£4.5 billion) in 2009/10. Government also makes a big profit from capital receipts!

To try and limit what they concede, Ministers are trying to define the 'robbery' as only a few hundred million pounds a year. They argue that between £1.2 billion a year is taken from tenants to 'pay off historic debt'.

One presenter at the Local Government Association's Housing Finance Conference on 24 February argued that 98% of the 'negative subsidy' paid by the majority of councils is to 'meet debt servicing requirements' – i.e. not to subsidise other tenants!

Tenants have been mugged for long enough. We don't have a financial interest in the asset; government has recouped more than enough in capital receipts and doesn't recover public investment or subsidies from other tenures so why is it only chasing council tenants on debt? (see right)

Every penny of the rental income and capital receipts from council housing should be ring-fenced and reinvested in the management, maintenance, and improvement of council homes and estates!



Reading council and tenants about to give evidence with Winchester tenants behind

Delegations giving verbal evidence to the inquiry at Parliament included: Adur, Birmingham, Bolsover, Camden, Caradon, Crawley, Dover, East Devon, Edinburgh, Harlow, Harrow, Hinckley & Bosworth, Kingston, Leeds, Milton Keynes, NE Derbyshire Norwich, Reading, Southwark, Stevenage, Stroud, Thanet, Waverley, Winchester, Wolverhampton.

HOW THE HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT

WORKS
Each year government decides how much rent it thinks each council should charge its tenants (Guideline Rent). In 2008/9 this amount to £6.2 billion. It also decides how much each council needs for management and maintenance of its homes (Management & Maintenance Allowances) and major repairs to keep the homes up to standard (Major Repairs Allowance). A total of £4.5 billion this year. The difference – £1.7 billion in 2008/2009 it keeps. This is what we call 'robbery'. What would you call it?

Ask MPs to sign Early Day Motion EDM 355 in Parliament

66That this House points out the urgent need to boost the economy by a massive programme of public investment to improve existing council homes and estates and build a new generation of first-class council housing to provide secure tenancies and low rents, and managed by an accountable landlord of the type the large numbers of people in housing need desire; and calls on Government to stop taking money out of tenants' rents and to ring-fence all rents and receipts within a national housing revenue account, to fully fund allowances to local authorities for the management, maintenance and repair of council homes at level of need, along with a level playing field on gap funding and debt write-off so as to secure the long-term future for council

ARGUMENTS ON 'HISTORIC DEBT'

Government argues that more than £1 billion from our rents each year goes to support 'historic housing debt'.

Here are three reasons why this is unjust:

Council tenants don't have a financial interest in the value of the asset. We don't own it when the debt is paid off or when its sold? You don't get charged for 'historic debt' when you use a NHS hospital or send your kids to the local school - these belong to everyone and are paid for in general taxation.

So why are council tenants being asked to pay for 'historic housing debt'? We believe that government has had Amore than enough over the years to cover this debt. In a press statement (20 Jan 2009) the department (CLG) argues "75% of the capital receipt from any council home sold under the Right to Buy is currently pooled nationally to reflect the historic investment in council house building". According to the Joseph Rowntree Foundation 'Right to buy' receipts yielded £45 billion by the end of 2005. More since. Why wasn't this used to pay off outstanding historic debt on council housing?

3All forms of housing tenure have received public money at one time or another. Professor Hills' report shows, homeownership is the most heavily subsidised housing in England, with £18.4 billion in 2004-05 compared to £15.4 billion on council and housing association housing (including housing benefit) (see page 25, Ends and Means: The Future Roles of Social Housing in England, February 2007).

There is no attempt to 'recover' Mortgage Interest Tax Relief from home owners or 'recover' grants made to RSLs under the 'Affordable Housing Programme. Why are council tenants treated differently?



ousing,

and to provide funding to build new council homes thus allowing authorities to open up their allocation policies once again to the wide range of people on council housing waiting lists so that butchers, bakers, nurses and teachers can live together with young families and pensioners thus returning our estates to the mixed and sustainable communities they used to be, and to provide a sustainable housing policy offering security and stability for the 21st century.

Breaking up national HRA carries real risks for tenants

There is an important debate about how a new finance regime should be organised. Some councils are arguing to break up the existing national regime with each council going it alone.

Tenants would be exposed to any sharp changes in interest charges, inflation and other economic factors. They could also lose out badly if their council gets its thirty year business plan forecasts badly wrong.

Some councils clearly want to retain rents locally so that they – instead of government – can raid them to subsidise other services. They start off saying they will respect a ring-fence but then widen the definition to include 'environmental' improvements, regeneration and other expenditure! These should be paid by all residents – not just council tenants.

It's not hard to see how breaking up the national council housing sector could leave tenants feeling more isolated, making it harder to resist privatisation, market rents and attacks on our 'secure' tenancies

DCH and others are arguing for government to ring-fence rental income and receipts within the national Housing Revenue Account to fully fund allowances to each authority 'at level of need' according to a new and transparent criteria.

There is no reason why this new formula could not be guaranteed and projected forward to allow authorities to benefit from the advantages that a 30 year business plan provides.

This would keep council tenants united across the country to defend our common interests. It would leave responsibility for macro economics with government and result in each authority getting the resources they need to spend according to priorities determined locally by tenants and councillors. It's a less risky formula.

If government stops the robbery and takes over historic debt there's enough money to make council housing sustainable.

What they said at Parliament

- 66 We are not against the principle of sharing resources with Councils in need what I disagree with is that we are not in need. 9 Jennifer Millar-Smith, housing cabinet member Crawley Council
- 66 We need a Council house building scheme on the post-war scale and we need it yesterday. 99 Michael Hall, chair, Leeds Tenants Federation
- 66 Building homes for rent cannot be left to the 'broken models' of housing association building and section 106 [deals with private developers]. We desperately need a major council house building programme and we need it now. 99 Prof Peter Ambrose
- 66 About £200,000 is being taken each hour from capital receipts or housing rent? That is about £300,000 during the period of this debate, £5 million a day and £1.8 billion a year. Those are extensive resources that are urgently needed by people hanging on by their fingernails as far as local authority-owned stock is concerned. It is all part of some subtle, or perhaps relatively unsubtle, coercion to get stock transfer under way. 99 David Taylor MP

Private sector failure: Investment in first class council housing makes sense

There has been a total failure of housing policy based on reliance on the private market. Now is the time to learn the lessons and invest in first class public housing.

Government faces a stark choice. They can pour billions of pounds of public money into bailing out the private sector or they can make financial support conditional on bankers, builders, landowners and landlords modernising existing and building a new generation

of council (public) housing.

If RSLs (Housing Associations and Housing Companies) fail then tenants should have the choice of being transferred to the local council.

Builders facing bankruptcy should be offered the chance to build first class council housing – designed and built to the highest environmental standards.

It's the obvious and most effective way to tackle housing need, climate change and unemployment at the same time.

Devil is in the detail...

There is a danger that the warm words for council housing are only cosmetic and that government is still determined to push through privatisation and private housing solutions – at any cost.

We know that the Department for Communities & Local Government and the Regional Government Offices continue to push councils to stock transfer their existing homes.

They are also encouraging councils to sell council homes and land to fund 'Decent Homes' work. Where they can't sell they are now proposing councils should 'market rent' council homes. It's a moral and political disgrace when there's 1.8 million households on council waiting lists and it doesn't make any economic sense either!

There's also pressure to set up

public/private partnerships (Local Housing Companies and other Special Purpose Vehicles) to build new housing.

Local Housing Companies will eat up public money but won't be building council homes. Most will be built for private sale. Any homes for rent will be 'assured' tenancies – not council 'secure' tenancies.

The last thing we need are more 'publicprivate partnerships'. They have a disastrous track record: impressive sounding objectives invariably get scaled back, with private sector 'partners' looking to maximise profits and minimise their exposure.

Existing regeneration schemes based on partnerships are in crisis (The Credit Crunch and Regeneration: Impact and Implications, CLG, Jan 2009).

Find out exactly what your authority is

GORDON BROWN'S COMMITMENT

66 In the past we have placed restrictions on local authorities... Today let me be clear: if local authorities can convince us that they can deliver quickly - and costeffectively - more of the housing that Britain needs, and if local authorities can build social housing in sustainable communities that meets the aspirations of the British people in the 21st century, then we will be prepared to give them our full backing and put aside anything that stands in their way... We will not allow old arguments and old ideologies to stop us getting on with the job. 🤧

Gordon Brown speaking to Local Government Network conference, 29 January 2009

proposing and insist that the council take up Gordon Brown's offer (above) and make use of the new facilities and apply for Social Housing Grant to build council homes on public land.

Organise a local public meeting in support of DCH's 'Five demands for 2009'

Defend Council Housing





ORDER MATERIAL AFFILIATE AND DONATE ORGANISE IN YOUR AREA







Total Amount £.....



Affiliate

Annual affiliation fees:

Tenants/Community Organisations:

Local £10 District/Regional £25 National £50

Trade Union Organisations:

District/Regional £100 National £250

Order Material to distribute in your area

National twelve-page broadsheet £18 per 100 / £100 per 1000

Annual subscription to Campaign Mailings & Briefings £15

Case for Council Housing pamphlet £10 (or £2.50 for individual tenants / bulk orders)

Dear Gordon 2 pamphlet £5

(or £1.50 individual tenants/bulk orders)

Name
Address
Organisation
Position
Tel No(s)
Email
National newspaper Amount £
PamphletsAmount £
Affiliation feeAmount £
Mailing SubscriptionAmount £
DonationAmount £