CAMDEN DEFEND COUNCIL HOUSING

ALMOs



lune 2002

8 REASONS TO REJECT ALMOS



This government wants to privatise council housing – ALMOs are a key part of their strategy

Camden already has nearly 2/3rds of the money it needs - there is no unrent reason to go ALMO

> Flected councillors will no longer be accountable for what happens to our homes. It's a recipe for excuses





Tenants on the board will not be allowed to represent our interests - their hands will be tied by company law Massive amounts will be spent on

consultants, re-organisation and higher pay for senior managers Ordinary housing workers will lose out by being TUPE transferred. Staff turnover and

demoralisation will affect the service Winning direct investment without strings is worth fighting for. We've already won concessions. We can win much more!



Camden Council wants to setup an ALMO (Arms Length Management Organisation) to run our homes. The government says that councils who set up ALMOs can get extra money for council housing

But if extra money is available why not give it to councils direct - without the requirement to set up a private company - unless privatisation is their real agenda? (see page 2)

WHY WE SAY ALMOS ARE TWO-STAGE PRIVATISATION

designed by the Tories, is now being promoted where ministers and councillors know they can't det tenants to accent stock transfer.

process in a second stage. officers who are suggesting that the are being dishonest. In other areas for more direct investment in council the lot. The lessons are obvious!

The ALMO formula, originally where ALMOs have been set up they housing and weaken the indepen-'Ashfield Homes' in Nottingham-

shire moved out of the town hall into money without doing the promised Their hope is that if they can get new rented new offices in an indushousing management hived off to a trial estate on the edge of the area. separate company it will be much. Kirklees and Derby Homes are option left is handing over complete proposing to privatise a whole range control to a private company. of services they currently get from They believe that setting up an ALMO will undermine the campaign

have moved fast to re-brand the dent tenants movement. Some company and create as much dis- councillors are saving that if the

> how they will argue that the only In the 1980s the Tory government forced councils to transfer the running of local bus services to ALMON In 1995 it then releations

MINISTERS UNDER PRESSURE



In 2000 Deputy Prime now in a difficult position, foundation hospitals and anisations) are the only I was reported as predicting privatisation project. ing'. But it has not hap- embarrassment of failing pened

Tenants and trade Homes' target unless they unionists along with some successfully blackmail munities Plan' announce- wasn't true then and it's councillors and MPs have tenants to accept their ment is an attempt to not true now. been resisting privatisation and demanding direct investment in council investment with no strings housing. attached We have already won concessions, unpopular. The back- PFI or ALMOs (Arms We shouldn't let them The government are bench revolt by MPs on Length Management Org- bully us now!

They also face the projects undermining pub-

agenda or concede direct tough it out.

Minister John Prescott They want to oush on their too up fees for students options for extra housing lic services) shows the dif- argument. Camden counollors used to push stock John Prescott's 'Com- transfer in 1997, That

> They desperately want would not exist today if tenants to accept as a we'd allowed politicians Privatisation is deeply. Tact' that stock transfer. to bully us in the past,

written and nendured by Camden tenents. The council has refused to provide equal resources to put the case against Camden UNISON (trade workers) for helping to

PART OF

REJECT THE BLACKMAIL. WE WANT DIRECT INVESTMENT IN COUNCIL HOUSING — WITH NO STRINGS ATTACHED!